4							
			REPLY			•	
	cc. Cybree beca	rrey scarry no					
		hat corrective minimum requir	action be	taken to brin	g the lighting level	s up	
	Safety Division.	We feel that aragraph 5, of	f a recent certain ar the constr	lighting surv eas do not me	F 11-5-85 ey conducted by our et the requirements ications. Those are		
FOLD				:	TITLE OF ORIGINATOR DC/NBPO/OL	FOLD	
From TAT	M : Art Carlucci GSA Project				11 Dec 85		
<i>,</i>					RECEIVER (Replier to message): Reply below the message, keep one copy, return one copy. DATE OF MESSAGE ROUTING SYMBOL		
Subj	Cian Tilimin	2-Way Memo Site Illumination Levels			Use routing symbols whenever possible. SENDER (<i>Originator of message</i>): Use brief, informal language. Conserve space. Forward original and one copy.		

January 9, 1986

Centex Construction Company, Inc. 2947 Gallows Road P. O. Box 427 Merrifield, Virginia 22116

Subject: GS-11B-19066, Bid Package No. 2, Headquarters Building,

CIA Headquarters Expansion, Langley, Virginia, Illumination

Survey, Specification Section 01540

Gentlemen:

An illumination survey of several floors of the new building and adjacent areas of the construction site was made by our safety and industrial hygienist.

The findings of this survey together with certain specificities are herewith forwarded to you for appropriate corrective action. Those areas that do not have, or do not meet minimum lighting requirements are considered to be contract deficiencies and/or items of non-compliance.

While we fully understand and accept the fact that any given illumination reading can change on a day to day basis, considering all the variable factors and as the work progresses, we believe that the contract requirements are quite clear. For security reasons and in order to provide a safe working environment, any deficient lighting level must be upgraded immediately. Failure by the contractor to comply with the contract requirements for the minimum illumination could force the Government to utilize other means of providing the required lighting levels.

Please give this matter your immediate attention so as not to jeopardize the safety of any of the workmen involved in activities in those areas with deficient lighting.

Sincerely,

Arthur J. Carlucci Project Manager Headquarters Expansion Project

AJC:ni

Illumination Survey

New Building Site

Surveyor: Mary Kay Seiler

Industrial Hygienist

Occupational Health Branch

Safety Division

Survey Instrument: Digaphot Light Meter

Model 3303

Survey I 3:20 PM

Survey Location	Illumination Level (footcandles)
Ground Floor	
Section F (near back/west wall)	
between columns 13/14	
under direct light	
at 3' from the floor	2
at floor level	1 *
not under direct light	
at 3' from the floor	0.5*
at floor level	0.5*
First Floor	
Section F (near back/west wall)	
center area; at column 14	
at 3' from the floor	1.5*
at floor level	0.5*
between columns 15/16	
at 3' from the floor	0.5*
at floor level	0.5*

Survey II

6:00 PM

Survey Location	Illumination Level (footcandles)
Perimeter Readings	
Ground floor (West/back wall) section F; between columns 13/14 at 3' from the floor	1.5*
outside SE quadrant of perimeter corner saw shed GSA gate	0.2* 0.5* 6.0
West side; center of bldg; near 4th floor entrance at 3' from the floor (horizontal)	6.5
SE side of 4th floor entrance at 3' from the floor (horizontal)	0.3*

*Deficient illumination

First Floor East/front wall between columns 15/16 at 3' from the floor at floor level	55 42
Second Floor	
at ladder	98
at West/back wall between columns 13/14	160 125
between columns 15/16 at 3' from the floor at floor level (floor installed above) * Deficient Illumination	0.5* 1.5*